Respiratory muscle evaluation in elective thoracotomies and laparotomies of the upper abdomen*

LARYSSA MILENKOVICH BELLINETTI, JOÃO CARLOS THOMSON

ABSTRACT

Objective: To identify any possible relation between lower than predicted preoperative respiratory muscle function and the incidence of postoperative respiratory complications and death in elective thoracotomies and laparotomies of the upper abdomen. Methods: A prospective cohort study was conducted, in which 70 patients over the age of 18 were monitored in two similar hospitals. In the preoperative evaluation performed at admission, patients were classified as presenting respiratory muscle function (as determined by measurement of maximal respiratory pressures) ≥ 75% of the predicted value (n = 50) or < 75% of the predicted value (n = 20). Patients were monitored until discharge. In both groups, the incidence of pneumonia was determined, as was that of acute respiratory failure, bronchospasm, prolonged mechanical ventilation, atelectasis, pleural effusion, pneumothorax and death. A comparative analysis was made between the groups, and relative risk was calculated. Results: In the study sample, the overall incidence of postoperative complications was 22.86% (16/70): 55% (11/20) in the group of patients presenting < 75% of the predicted value; and 10% (5/50) in the group of patients presenting ≥ 75% of the predicted value. Patients in the < 75% of the predicted value group presented a relative risk of 5.5 (95% confidence interval between 2.19 and 13.82). Conclusion: Respiratory muscle function below the predicted value was found to be related with higher relative risk of postoperative complications in the surgical procedures studied.
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INTRODUCTION

Postoperative respiratory complications (PRCs) increase the length, as well as the predicted cost, of hospital stays and contribute significantly to increasing mortality rates, especially in elective thoracotomies and laparotomies of the upper abdomen, which are considered high-risk surgical procedures.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^2\) The PRC incidence varies considerably due to the lack of standardization in defining PRCs and to the variability in the risk factors analyzed, ranging from 10% to 40% for thoracotomies, from 13% to 33% for laparotomies of the upper abdomen, and from 0 to 16% for laparotomies of the lower abdomen.\(^3\)

The expected reduction in vital capacity ranges from approximately 60% to 70% in thoracotomies and from 50% to 68% in laparotomies.\(^4\) Postoperative diaphragmatic dysfunction, which is the main cause of this reduction,\(^5\) peaks within two to eight hours after the surgery, typically returning to preoperative values within seven to ten days. These alterations, which occur in response to the surgical procedure, may evolve to PRCs when they modify the initially predicted course of the postoperative recovery.\(^6\) Notable among the objective measures of diaphragmatic respiratory dysfunction performed at the bedside are those of maximal inspiratory pressure (MIP) and maximal expiratory pressure (MEP).\(^7\)

Prevention of PRCs has motivated several studies,\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^11\) many of which aimed to validate predictive indices of surgical risk.\(^12\)\(^-\)\(^16\) Currently, spirometry, which was considered very valuable in the 1970s and 1980s,\(^6\) has proven to be useful only in patients who are scheduled for lung resection\(^17\) or who present respiratory symptoms.\(^18\) Other parameters of the cardiorespiratory evaluation, such as diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide, maximal oxygen uptake, and anaerobic cut-off point, have been studied in order to predict risks, specifically in lung resections.\(^19\)\(^-\)\(^23\) There have been few studies of the value of respiratory muscle function in the preoperative period.\(^19\)\(^-\)\(^21\) Some authors\(^22\)\(^-\)\(^23\) observed that patients who, despite respiratory muscle training in the thoracotomy preoperative period, do not manage to raise their MIP and MEP values present a higher risk of developing PRC. Other authors\(^24\) suggest that MEP be included in the risk scale proposed for patients submitted to cardiac surgery.

In the present study, it is suggested that respiratory muscle dysfunction\(^25\)\(^-\)\(^29\) prior to the surgical procedure can cause a longer than expected delay in the recovery from the physiopathological alterations, thereby favoring the appearance of PRCs, especially when the incisions are close to the diaphragm. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to determine whether lower than predicted preoperative respiratory muscle function (respiratory muscle dysfunction) can be considered a risk factor for PRC and death in elective noncardiac thoracotomies and laparotomies of the upper abdomen.

METHODS

The present study was an observational, cross-sectional, prospective cohort study. Data collection was carried out from December of 2002 to September of 2003. The study sample was a convenience sample of 70 consecutive patients who were under treatment in the Departments of Thoracic Surgery, Digestive Tract Surgery and General Surgery of the Northern Paraná Regional University Hospital (n = 57) and of the Londrina Evangelical Hospital (n = 13). At both hospitals, the emergency rooms treat patients served by the Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS, Unified Health Care System), and the physical therapy staff use similar preoperative and postoperative treatment protocols. All of the participating patients gave written informed consent, using a form approved by the ethics committees of both hospitals.

A single researcher evaluated patients preoperatively (at admission) and monitored those patients until their discharge or death. Patients were treated by the physical therapy team in a normal manner. The researcher did not make available to the physical therapy team or the surgical team, at any time, any data related to the patients monitored. There were two teams for each specialty, and these teams used the same surgical techniques. Inclusion criteria were being older than 18 years of age and having been hospitalized in order to undergo noncardiac supraumbilical abdominal or thoracic surgery not assisted by video. There was the same proportion of males and females (50% each), and patients ages ranged from 19 to 81 years, with a mean age of 48.99 years, a standard deviation of 15.63 and a median of 47.5 years. A total of 29 patients (41.4%) underwent thoracotomy, 37 (52.9%) underwent laparotomy of the upper abdomen, and 4 (5.7%) underwent mixed surgeries (involving abdominal and thoracic incisions). Most of the thoracotomies (72.4%) consisted of lung resection, and most of the laparotomies (61%) were conducted in order to perform...
gastric surgery. Uncooperative patients were excluded, as were those who were being treated with psychotropics, immunosuppressive drugs or muscle relaxants, all of which could interfere with the results. In addition, patients presenting pulmonary infection after the preoperative evaluation and prior to the surgery were excluded.

Personal data, as well as those related to the clinical history and physical examination, were collected, taking into consideration the following covariables: gender; age (up to the age of 49, or age 50 and up); smoking (smokers were defined as patients who reported having smoked at least one cigarette per day during the preceding year or more than twenty packs during their lifetime, and nonsmokers were defined as patients who had never smoked or had smoked less than twenty packs during their lifetime); respiratory symptoms (complaining of at least one of the following symptoms: cough or chronic cough (with or without expectoration) - especially within the last eight weeks; previous lung disease (chronic obstructive or restrictive disease diagnosed prior to or during the hospitalization period); comorbidities (arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus or heart disease); body mass index (patients with a body mass index between 21 and 29 were considered to be well nourished, and patients with body mass index lower than or equal to 20 or above 29 were considered malnourished); and spirometry values, collected using a Respiradyne Plus spirometer (Sherwood Medical, St. Louis, MO, USA) according to the recommendations of the Consenso Brasileiro de Espirometria (I Brazilian Consensus on Spirometry). Abnormal spirometry results were defined as forced vital capacity or forced expiratory volume in one second below 80% of predicted and a relationship between those two below 75%.

Maximal respiratory pressures were measured using an analog vacuum manometer (Makil, Londrina, Brazil) that was 100 mm in diameter, with a capsule-like sensor, a spikelike connector and a scale ranging from -200 to 200 cm of H2O. The patient remained in a sitting position. A nose clip and a rigid plastic mouthpiece with a lowered rim were used. The vacuum monometer was connected to the mouthpiece by means of a tube, and a connector with a 2-mm diameter opening joined the mouthpiece to the tube. For the MIP measurements, patients were asked to exhale until reaching the point of residual volume, inhale as deeply as possible and hold their breath for at least two seconds. For the MEP measurements, the patient was asked to inhale as deeply and as long as possible, and then to exhale as forcefully as possible until reaching residual volume. The technique was repeated, with one-minute resting intervals, until we obtained the values of at least three acceptable maneuvers (MIP and MEP), with a variation of less than 10% among them. The highest sustained value obtained, as long as it was not that of the final measurement, was then selected.

The values obtained were compared to the normality criteria and are expressed as percentages (%MIP and %MEP). The patients were divided into two groups based on the degree of respiratory muscle function presented: lower than 75% of the predicted value (n = 20); and equal to or greater than 75% of the predicted value (n = 50).

In the postoperative period, the researcher reviewed and monitored, on a daily basis, the records kept by the surgical team. The following data were obtained: surgical time (longer or shorter than 210 minutes) and length of hospital stay (preoperative stay, postoperative stay, stay in intensive care unit and total stay) were noted. In addition, data were obtained regarding the following complications: pneumonia (temperature over 38°C, radiological signs of pulmonary consolidation, and productive cough); atelectasis with evident clinical repercussions; bronchospasm; prolonged mechanical ventilation; pleural effusion or pneumothorax; surgical reintervention caused by inadequate lung re-expansion; and death related or not to pulmonary disease.

The sample was calculated using a confidence level of 95% and a statistical power of 80%. The descriptive analysis of the study variables was carried out through the calculation of means, medians, and standard deviations. We compared the group of patients presenting respiratory muscle function < 75% of the predicted value and the group of patients presenting respiratory muscle function = 75% of the predicted value using the Student’s t-test, Mann-Whitney test, the chi-square test with Yates’ correction, or Fisher’s exact test, when pertinent. Distributions were determined using the Shapiro-Wilk test. We calculated the incidence of PRCs and death, as well as relative risk and confidence interval, for both groups. We used the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) program, version 11.5, and the Epi Info program, version 6.04d. The level of significance was set at 0.05 for the error, and all tests were two-tailed.
RESULTS

Of the 70 patients studied, 37 (52.9%) were smokers, 28 (40%) were dystrophic, 33 (47.1%) presented comorbidities, 30 (42.9%) had a history of lung disease, 31 (44.3%) presented respiratory symptoms at the time of the surgery, and 30 (47.1%) presented abnormal spirometry results. The symptomatic patient who presented no lung disease complained of dry cough, and this was attributed to the emotional state evoked by the prospect of the surgery. Mean surgical time was 210.7 minutes, with a standard deviation of 111 minutes and ranging from 40 to 490 minutes. All patients were submitted to general anesthesia through intravenous administration, orotracheal intubation, and controlled ventilatory support. Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics of the patients of both groups studied. It can be seen that most of the risk factors studied were present in the same proportions, except for those regarding age (p < 0.03), gender (p < 0.01), respiratory symptoms (p = 0.01) and spirometry (p = 0.01).

In 16 of the 70 patients, the clinical profile evolved to at least one PRC or death, comprising a total of 32 occurrences, which are described in Table 2. Therefore, the total incidence of PRCs, including death, was 22.86% (16/70). All deaths (7/70) resulted from PRCs. The clinical profile of 5 (10%) of the 50 patients presenting respiratory muscle function = 75% of the predicted value evolved to PRC or death in the postoperative period, and the same occurred to 11 (55%) of the 20 patients presenting respiratory muscle function < 75% of the predicted value. This difference was statistically quite significant (p < 0.001).

Table 3 shows the distribution of patients according to the incidence of PRCs and death in those two groups of patients. Relative risk was 5.5, with a 95% confidence interval between 2.19 and 13.82, for the patients presenting respiratory muscle function < 75% of the predicted value, according to values predicted for Brazilians of the same age and gender. Analysis of the group that evolved to PRC or death (n = 16) and of the group that did not (n = 54) revealed a statistically significant difference between the means of the maximal respiratory pressures - MIP (p < 0.01) and MEP (p < 0.02) - between the groups.

As shown in Table 4, the Mann-Whitney tests revealed a statistically significant difference in the total number of days of hospitalization between the group of patients presenting resenting respiratory muscle function < 75% of the predicted value and the group of patients presenting respiratory muscle function = 75% of the predicted value. When analyzed in the presence of most of the risk factors, the statistical association between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Not-Display</th>
<th>Displayed</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥ 50 years</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &gt; 29 or ≤ 20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory symptoms</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T ≥ 210 min</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal spirometry</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PLD: previous lung disease; T: surgical time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Displayed</th>
<th>Not-Display</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>5 (21.7)</td>
<td>3 (33.4)</td>
<td>8 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>5 (21.7)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>7 (21.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARF*</td>
<td>4 (17.4)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>5 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV &gt; 48 hours</td>
<td>3 (13.0)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>4 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchospasm</td>
<td>2 (8.7)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>3 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atelectasis</td>
<td>2 (8.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural alteration</td>
<td>1 (4.4)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>2 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoperation</td>
<td>1 (4.4)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23 (71.9)</td>
<td>9 (28.1)</td>
<td>32 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ARF: acute respiratory failure; MV: mechanical ventilation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>PRC or Death</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes N (%)</td>
<td>No N %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displayed</td>
<td>11 68.8</td>
<td>9    16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not-Display</td>
<td>5 31.2</td>
<td>45   83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16 100.0</td>
<td>54   100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher's exact test, p < 0.001
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abnormal respiratory muscle function and PRC or death remained statistically significant (Table 5). The exceptions were the risk factors age = 50 years (p = 0.08) and presence of comorbidities (p = 0.40).

**DISCUSSION**

Although some of the risk factors related to patients or to the procedure cannot be altered. Identifying such risk factors can aid the treatment team by allowing them to devote more attention to patients at higher risk, thereby preventing, as much as possible, PRCs and death. Respiratory muscle dysfunction has proven to be a very influential factor in the evolution of innumerable clinical situations, such as pulmonary, orthopedic, rheumatic, and neurological conditions, as well as in the evolution of surgical conditions. The main objective of the present study was to determine whether respiratory muscle dysfunction prior to the surgical intervention could be considered a risk factor for PRCs or death following the surgical procedures studied.

The groups of patients evaluated, both the group of patients presenting respiratory muscle function < 75% of the predicted value and the group of patients presenting respiratory muscle function = 75% of the predicted value, presented the same proportion of cases regarding most of the high-risk preoperative variables. The heterogeneity found between the groups in the variables age, gender and respiratory symptoms probably did not interfere with the results since neither age nor gender in isolation have proven to be risk factors for PRCs or death. According to a review article, the literature suggests that age is a minor risk factor for PRCs when adjusted for comorbidities. It is therefore difficult to confirm the existence of an isolated risk. There is little documentation in the literature regarding the influence of gender on the incidence of PRCs in the surgical procedures studied herein, and the few studies that have been conducted have yielded contradictory results. Therefore, further scientific evidence is needed before gender and age can be considered risk factors for PRCs.

The number of patients presenting respiratory symptoms was slightly higher among those presenting abnormal spirometry results since this test provides little information about the respiratory muscles.
were caused by respiratory complications, which is in consonance with the literature.\(^{(11,13)}\) Pneumonia was the most frequent PRC, a finding that is also in accordance with those of most of the studies consulted. However, the low frequency of atelectasis (6.3%) observed in the present study might be attributable to a lack of critical investigation of every case since we only recorded those cases that were clinically significant and required specific therapeutic intervention.

Our results were similar to those found by other authors.\(^{(22-23)}\) However, in the two articles whose objective was to determine the role that preoperative respiratory muscle function plays in the development of PRCs, the authors worked with reference values of -80 cmH\(_2\)O for MIP and 80 cmH\(_2\)O for MEP (absolute values), not taking into consideration the variables gender and age. The reference values of the present study, in addition to taking into consideration these variables (relative values), were specific for the population studied.\(^{(24)}\) This made it possible to quantify respiratory muscle function more objectively.

The analysis of the individual variables of the relative maximal respiratory pressures (\%MIP and \%MEP) revealed the great statistical significance of the difference between the means observed in the group that evolved to PRC or death and the group that did not. It was also observed that the values of \%MIP in isolation would already make it possible to correlate preoperative inspiratory muscle dysfunction with PRCs, with a relative risk of 4.47 and a confidence interval between 1.89 and 10.62, indicating the importance of this measurement. This finding is in disagreement with that of another study,\(^{(24)}\) in which MIP was not included in the risk scale proposed. The authors of that study prospectively monitored 117 patients with the objective of developing a PRC risk scale model for myocardial revascularization. The variables MEP equal to or greater than 75% of the predicted value and inspiratory vital capacity equal to or greater than 76% of the predicted value were considered protective against PRCs and were included in the risk scale model proposed by those authors.

Comparing the group of patients presenting respiratory muscle function < 75% of the predicted value with the group of patients presenting respiratory muscle function = 75% of the predicted value in terms of length of hospital stay, we observed a visible difference in the mean number of days for the four periods indicated in Table 4. However, we observed a statistically significant difference only in the total number of days of hospitalization. This fact makes it possible to state that the presence of preoperative respiratory muscle dysfunction may increase the length of the hospital stay.

Relative risk values remained significant when adjusted for most of the other risk factors (Table 5). When the variables age = 50 years and presence of comorbidities were analyzed, the relative risks were considerable and significant, suggesting a statistical association. The variable respiratory symptoms could have been considered a confounding factor in the study. However, when relative risk was adjusted for the presence of respiratory symptoms, we observed that it remained significant for patients presenting respiratory muscle function < 75% of the predicted value and respiratory symptoms (relative risk of 2.73, confidence interval between 1.07 and 7.01, \(p = 0.05\)). Of the 17 symptomatic patients presenting respiratory muscle function = 75% of the predicted value, only 4 (23.5%) developed a PRC or died, whereas 9 (64%) of the 14 patients presenting respiratory muscle function < 75% of the predicted value and respiratory symptoms developed PRCs.

A limitation of voluntary testing\(^{(29)}\) for the evaluation of respiratory muscle strength is its dependence on patient cooperation since there is no means of confirming whether a given patient has given the maximum effort. Therefore, a diagnosis of respiratory muscle weakness could not be made with precision in the present study. In addition, we did not investigate the cause of the respiratory muscle strength deficiency found. However, taking into account the results obtained, respiratory muscle evaluation proved important for the identification of patients at higher risk of PRC or death, regardless of the cause or of the diagnostic confirmation of muscle weakness, which are matters that may call for further investigation.

According to the results obtained, we can conclude that abnormal respiratory muscle function in the preoperative period of elective thoracotomies and laparotomies of the upper abdomen is correlated with a higher incidence of PRC or death and may be considered a risk factor in these surgeries. Certainly, further studies are necessary in order to determine whether the predictive value of respiratory muscle dysfunction better defines the surgical risk identified by risk scales that have been well established. Preoperative respiratory muscle dysfunction, therefore, would be one more risk factor for PRC or death in the broad spectrum of events to which surgical patients are exposed. In conclusion, detection of this dysfunction by the physiotherapist may aid in the stratification of the patient surgical risk.
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